

OBR 148 & 149 (double issue)

Person-to-Person Lending 2.0

A disruptive service or niche market?

Author: Jim Bruene, Editor, Online Banking Report

Published: December 15, 2007

Cost: Individual report: \$595 single-user; \$995 enterprise-wide license or get this report now for no charge with your <u>annual subscription</u>

Size: 48 pages; 19,000 words; 31 Tables

Format: Printed, PDF, Word

Ordering: Online, email, or (206) 517-5021

Abstract:

Since our initial report on P2P lending in Feb. 2006 (*OBR 127*), the service has gained a small but impressive following in the United States and around the world. Worldwide, there are fewer than 100,000 active users, including borrowers and lenders. But the market potential has attracted a number of startups, 20 or more, depending on your definition.

It's really the perfect product for the Web 2.0-social-networked consumer. Why, then, has growth been relatively slow compared to other networked services? Because it's a difficult business. Not only are P2P lenders competing with 20,000 other financial institutions for good borrowers, the are up against thousands of investment alternatives for funds to lend, all the

while waging a fierce battle with fraudsters and deadbeats. It's not a business for the faint of heart.

In this report, we look at the market as a whole examining the strengths and weaknesses of existing product. We list opportunities both for webbased startups and existing financial institutions and lay out a ten-year market forecast. Finally, we take a close look at the four major U.S. P2P lenders: **Prosper**, **Lending Club**, **Zopa** and **Virgin Money**.

Table of Contents
The market
Advantage (anne du mitie

The market 2
Advantages/opportunities 3
Disadvantages/risks6
Financial institution
opportunities10
10-year forecast 12
The players 16
Prosper (US) 17
Lending Club (US)30
Lending Club (US)30 Zopa (UK/US)38
• , ,